Question							
#	Industry Comment/Question	SPR Response					
1	Please let us know which business systems will be made available to the new contractor—and whether there are any business systems that are proprietary to the incumbent and therefore won't be made available to the incoming contractor.	The contractor can elect to adopt the current systems (SAP, COVATS (Crude Oil Valuation and Tracking System), Deltek Cobra, etc.) or establish their own during transition. DOE is currently unaware of any systems that will be handed over or that are proprietary.					
2	Section L, Attachment L-10 Transition Cost by Element presents a breakdown of transition costs. General and Administrative Costs is the only category listed that represents indirect costs. If an Offeror has other indirect cost elements, such as Overhead, is it acceptable to modify the Section L, Attachment L-10 Transition Cost by Element form to include the additional indirect cost elements?	It is at the Offeror's discretion to include additional information in ATTACHMENT L-10 as long as the original elements in the table are addressed.					
		Per DOE O 413.3B, "A Key Performance Parameter (KPP) is defined by CD-2, Approve Performance Baseline and a characteristic, function, requirement or design basis that if changed would have a major impact on the system or facility performance, schedule, cost and/or risk. In some cases, a minimum KPP or threshold value should be highlighted for CD-4 (project completion) realizing in many instances full operational capabilities may take years to achieve. The minimum KPPs and facility mission must stay intact for the duration of the project since they represent a foundational element within the original Performance Baseline (PB)." Specifically for the Life Extension 2 project, the KPPs are defined as:					
		KPP per subproject					
	What are Key Performance Parameters as stated in this clause: "ability to meet the SPR Mission by validating the Key Performance Parameters are met after project completion"			Bryan Mound	Big Hill	Bayou Choctaw	
			КРР-1	Raw water withdrawal rate: 1545 MBD	Raw water withdrawal rate: 1133 MBD	Raw water withdrawal rate: 530 MBD	
			КРР-2	Sustained Drawdown Rate: 1500 MBD	Sustained Drawdown Rate: 1100 MBD	Sustained Drawdown Rate: 515 MBD	
3			КРР-З	Site fill rate: 225 MBD	Site fill rate: 225 MBD	Site fill rate: 110 MBD	
	Attachment L-10 – Summary of Key Personnel and Transition Cost Worksheet (Transition Cost by Cost Element) - Can the offerors modify the cost elements provided in this worksheet as Direct Labor Overhead was not included in the cost elements provided? Similarly, can the offerors add to the work sheet cost elements to segregate out costs for teaming partners/major subcontractors as appropriate?			discretion to include add e are addressed.	litional information in A	TTACHMENT L-10 as long as	the original
5	Attachment L-10 Key Personnel Costs does not call out or have a cell for incorporating bonuses; however, the instructions require offerors to include bonuses if not paid exclusively from fee. Can the L-10 form be amended to include a cell for bonuses?						

	May a joint venture rely on systems provided by its members to comply with Sections H.8	
6	through H.13, or must all systems be unique to the separate joint venture entity itself?	DOE has no preference.
	Sections H.8 through H.13 contemplate that the awardee may adopt existing systems from the	
	incumbent contractor. Will DOE please list which, if any, systems the incumbent contractor is	The contractor can elect to adopt the current systems (SAP, COVATS (Crude Oil Valuation and Tracking System),
7	anticipated to make available to the awardee?	Deltek Cobra, etc.) or establish their own during transition.
	RFP Section M.4., Evaluation Factors for Award, DOE-M-7005 COST/FEE EVALUATION FACTORS	
	(JUL 2018) (REVISED). This section states "The cost evaluation, however, will include	
	consideration of the Offeror's transition costs"	
	Question: We note that including transition costs as part of the cost evaluation could unfairly	
	benefit the incumbent contractor. DOE has previously addressed this concern by assigning a	
	fixed value to transition costs for evaluation purposes (i.e., all offerors must use the same	
	dollar value for transition). Would DOE consider implementing such an approach to ensure	
8	parity among offerors?	Please refer to M.4 and M.8(b) in Amendment 0003.